arXiv:1209.3729v3 [math.NT] 19 Sep 2012

Preprint, arXiv:1209.3729

SOME NEW INEQUALITIES FOR PRIMES

Zhi-Wei Sun

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University
Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China
zwsun@nju.edu.cn
http://math.nju.edu.cn/∼zwsun

Abstract. For $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ let p_n be the nth prime. We show that $p_n > n + \sum_{k=1}^n p_k/k$ for all $n \geqslant 125$, and $\sum_{k=1}^n kp_k < n^2 p_n/3$ for all $n > 30$.

1. Introduction

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ let p_n denote the nth prime. Robert Mandl ever conjectured that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_k < \frac{np_n}{2} \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant 9.$$

In 1975 J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld [RS] claimed to have a proof of Mandl's inequality but they have never published the details. In 1998 P. Dusart [D98] gave a detailed proof of the Mandl inequality. In 2007, M. Hassani [H] refined Mandl's inequality as

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_k < \frac{np_n}{2} - \frac{n^2}{14} \quad \text{for } n \geqslant 10.$$

In this paper we mainly establish the following new theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *We have the inequality*

$$p_n > n + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_k}{k} \quad \textit{for all } n \geqslant 125. \tag{1.1}$$

Also,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n kp_k < \frac{n^2}{3} p_n - \frac{n^3}{9} \quad \textit{for all } n \geqslant 2700 \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A41; Secondary 11B75.

Keywords. Primes, inequalities.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 11171140) of China and the PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_k < \frac{n}{2}p_n - \frac{n^2}{4} \quad \text{for all } n \geqslant 417. \tag{1.3}$$

We also have the following general result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $b > 0$. For any $a > -b$, there is a positive integer $n_0(a,b)$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^{a-1}p_k^b < \frac{n^a p_n^b}{a+b} - \frac{bn^{a+1}p_n^{b-1}}{(a+b)^2}$$

for all $n \geqslant n_0(a,b)$. When n is sufficiently large, we also have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{p_k^b}{k^{b+1}} < \frac{p_n^{b+1} - np_n^b}{(b+1)n^{b+1}}.$$

Remark. We can take

$$n_0(3,1) = 6048,\ n_0(4,1) = 6077,\ n_0(5,1) = 9260,\ n_0(6,1) = 20477,$$
$$n_0(7,1) = 30398,\ n_0(8,1) = 37358,\ n_0(9,1) = 37374,\ n_0(10,1) = 92608.$$

Also, we may take $n_0(-2047,1) = 2215$ and $n_0(-1,2) = 348271$.

We omit the proof of Theorem 1.2 since it is quite similar to the proof of (1.1).

To conclude this section, we pose a conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. (i) *If $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$ are the first n primes p with $M_p = 2^p - 1$ prime, then $\sum_{k=1}^n q_k < nq_n/\log n$.*

(ii) *Let $(F_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ be the Fibonacci sequence. If $q(1), q(2), \ldots, q(n)$ are the first n primes p with F_p prime, then $\sum_{k=1}^n q(k) < n\,q(n)/\log n$.*

Remark. We have verified part (i) for all known Mersenne primes and part (ii) for all known Fibonacci primes.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first give a lemma obtained by P. Dusart [D99].

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$p_k > k\left(\log k + \log\log k - 1 + \frac{\log\log k - 2.25}{\log k}\right) \quad \text{for all } k \geqslant 2, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$p_k \leqslant k\left(\log k + \log\log k - 1 + \frac{\log\log k - 1.8}{\log k}\right) \quad \text{for all } k \geqslant 27076. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let m and n be positive integers with $3\leqslant m<\sqrt{n}$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n}\frac{1}{\log k}<\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}-2(m-1)}{\log^2 m}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=m}^{n}\frac{1}{\log k}=\sum_{k=m}^{n}\frac{k-(k-1)}{\log k}\\ =&\sum_{k=m}^{n-1}k\left(\frac{1}{\log k}-\frac{1}{\log(k+1)}\right)+\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{m-1}{\log m}\\ =&\sum_{k=m}^{n-1}\frac{k\log(1+1/k)}{(\log k)\log(k+1)}+\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{m-1}{\log m}\\ <&\sum_{k=m}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\log^2 k}+\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{m-1}{\log m}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=m}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\log^2 k}=&\sum_{k=m}^{\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor}\frac{1}{\log^2 k}+\sum_{k=\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor+1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{\log^2 k}\\ \leqslant&\frac{\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor-(m-1)}{\log^2 m}+\frac{n-1-\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor}{\log^2\sqrt{n}}\\ <&\frac{\sqrt{n}-m+1}{\log^2 m}+\frac{4(n-\sqrt{n})}{\log^2 n},\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n}\frac{1}{\log k}<\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{m-1}{\log m}+\frac{\sqrt{n}-m+1}{\log^2 m}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}$$

and hence the desired (2.3) follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $125\leqslant n<50000$ we can verify the desired inequality directly. Below we assume that $n\geqslant 50000$.

Let $m=27076$. Via computer we find that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\frac{p_k}{k}<283452.35.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{p_k}{k}-283452.35<\sum_{k=m}^{n}\frac{p_k}{k}\leqslant\sum_{k=m}^{n}\left(\log k+\log\log k-1+\frac{\log\log k-1.8}{\log k}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

by Lemma 2.1. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=m}^{n-1}(\log k+\log\log k)\\ \leqslant&\sum_{k=m}^{n-1}\int_k^{k+1}(\log x+\log\log x)dx=\int_m^n(\log x+\log\log x)dx\\ =&x(\log x+\log\log x)\big|_{x=m}^n-\int_m^n x\left(\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{x\log x}\right)dx\\ =&n(\log n+\log\log n-1)-m(\log m+\log\log m-1)-\int_m^n\frac{dx}{\log x}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_m^n\frac{dx}{\log x}=\frac{x}{\log x}\bigg|_{x=m}^n-\int_m^n\left(-\frac{x}{x\log^2 x}\right)dx\geqslant\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{m}{\log m}+\frac{n-m}{\log^2 n}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=m}^n(\log k+\log\log k-1)\leqslant&\log n+\log\log n-(n-m+1)\\&+n(\log n+\log\log n-1)-m(\log m+\log\log m-1)\\&-\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{m}{\log m}-\frac{n-m}{\log^2 n}\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

As $n\geqslant 27076>164^2$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\sum_{k=164}^n\frac{1}{\log k}<\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}-2\times 163}{\log^2 164}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=m}^n\frac{1}{\log k}=&\sum_{k=164}^n\frac{1}{\log k}-\sum_{k=164}^{m-1}\frac{1}{\log k}\\<&\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}-326}{\log^2 164}-2948.64\\<&\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^2 164}-2961.17.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=m}^n\frac{\log\log k-1.8}{\log k}\leqslant(\log\log n-1.8)\left(\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^2 164}-2961.17\right).\tag{2.6}$$

Combining (2.4)-(2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{p_k}{k}-283452.35\\
<&n(\log n+\log\log n-2)-m(\log m+\log\log m-2)\\
&+\log n+\log\log n-1-\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{2m}{\log m}\\
&+(\log\log n-1.8)\left(\frac{n}{\log n}+\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^2 164}-2961.17\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.2),

$$p_n-n>n\left(\log n+\log\log n-2+\frac{\log\log n-2.25}{\log n}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{p_k}{k}-(p_n-n)\\
<&283452.35-m(\log m+\log\log m-2)\\
&+\log n+\log\log n-1-\frac{n}{\log n}-\frac{n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{2m}{\log m}\\
&+(2.25-1.8)\frac{n}{\log n}+(\log\log n-1.8)\left(\frac{4n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\log^2 164}-2961.17\right)\\
&=-\frac{0.55n}{\log n}-\frac{8.2n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{4n\log\log n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}(\log\log n-1.8)}{\log^2 164}\\
&+\log n-2960.17\log\log n-m(\log m+\log\log m-2)+\frac{2m}{\log m}\\
&+283451.35+1.8\times 2961.17\\
<&-\frac{0.55n}{\log n}-\frac{8.2n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{4n\log\log n}{\log^2 n}+\frac{\sqrt{n}(\log\log n-1.8)}{\log^2 164}\\
&+\log n-2960.17\log\log n+8992.62.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $n\geqslant 49583$,

$$\frac{0.55n}{\log n}+\frac{8.2n}{\log^2 n}-\frac{4n\log\log n}{\log^2 n}-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\log\log n-1.8)}{\log^2 164}-\log n+2960.17\log\log n>8992.62$$

and hence $p_n>n+\sum_{k=1}^{n}p_k/k$ as desired.

(1.2) and (1.3) can be proved in a similar way. We are done. □

References

[D98] P. Dusart, *Sharper bounds for ψ, θ, π, p_k*, Rapport de Recherche, 1998.

[D99] P. Dusart, *The kth prime is greater than $k(\log k+\log\log k-1)$ for $k \geqslant 2$*, Math. Comp. **68** (1999), 411–415.

[H] M. Hassani, *A remark on the Mandl's inequality*, Octogon Math. Magazine **15** (2007), 567–572.

[RS] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$*, Math. Comp. **29** (1975), 243–269.